

Irregular elliptic surfaces of degree 12 in the projective fourspace

Hirotschi Abo
Fachrichtung Mathematik
Universität des Saarlandes
D-66041 Saarbrücken
Germany

E-mail: abo@math.uni-sb.de

Kristian Ranestad
Matematisk Institutt
Universitetet i Oslo
P.b. 1053 Blindern
N-0316 Oslo 3, Norway

E-mail: ranestad@math.uio.no

1 Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to give two different constructions of irregular surfaces of degree 12 in $\mathbb{P}^4$. These surfaces are new and of interest in the classification of smooth non-general type surfaces in $\mathbb{P}^4$. This classification problem is motivated by the theorem of Ellingsrud and Peskine [9] which says that the degree of smooth non-general type surfaces in $\mathbb{P}^4$ is bounded. Moreover the new family is one of a few known families of irregular surfaces in $\mathbb{P}^4$. The other known irregular smooth surfaces in $\mathbb{P}^4$ are the elliptic quintic scrolls, the elliptic conic bundles [2], the bielliptic surfaces of degree 10 [16] and degree 15 [4], the minimal abelian surfaces of degree 10 [11] and the non-minimal abelian surfaces of degree 15 (cf. [3]) up to pull-backs of these families by suitable finite maps from $\mathbb{P}^4$ to $\mathbb{P}^4$ itself.

The first author came across the irregular elliptic surfaces when studying a stable rank three vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ on $\mathbb{P}^4$ with Chern classes $(c_1, c_2, c_3) = (5, 12, 12)$ (see [1] for the explicit construction of this bundle). The dependency locus of two sections of $\mathcal{E}$ is a smooth surface of the desired type.

Our first construction uses monads. The basic idea of monads is to represent a given coherent sheaf as a cohomology sheaf of a complex of simpler vector bundles. A useful way to construct monads is Horrocks' technique of killing cohomology. As we will see in § 2.2, for the ideal sheaf of a smooth surface X in $\mathbb{P}^4$ this technique is closely related to the graded finite length modules $H_*^i \mathcal{J}_X = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} H^i(\mathbb{P}^4, \mathcal{J}_X(m))$, $i = 1, 2$, over the polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_4]$ called the *Hartshorne-Rao modules* of X . To construct an irregular elliptic surface, we construct the ideal sheaf via its Hartshorne-Rao modules.

The second construction uses liaison, and reduces the construction of an irregular surface as above to the construction of a simpler locally complete intersection surface. More precisely we show that the elliptic irregular surface of the first construction is linked $(5, 5)$ to a reducible surface of degree 13. This reducible surface consists of a singular rational cubic scroll surface in a hyperplane of $\mathbb{P}^4$ and a smooth general type surface of degree 10 and sectional genus 10 such that they intersect in three disjoint (singular) conics on the cubic surface. We then show that these reducible surfaces can be constructed directly, in a slightly more general form. In fact we construct a cubic Del Pezzo surface X_0 and a smooth general type surface T of degree 10 and sectional genus 10 such that $T \cap X_0$ is the disjoint union of three smooth conics and show that the general surface linked $(5, 5)$ to $T \cup X_0$ is a smooth irregular elliptic surface. The family of irregular elliptic surfaces constructed via liaison includes the family of irregular elliptic surfaces constructed via monad as a special case.

Acknowledgment. We thank David Eisenbud, Lucian Badescu, Sorin Popescu and Alfio Ragusa for organizing the Nato advanced workshop in Erice, September 2001. Our collaboration started in the stimulating atmosphere of that meeting. The first author would like to thank Wolfram Decker for many stimulating conversations. The first author is also grateful to the DAAD for its financial support.

2 Preliminaries

In this part we recall basic results on smooth surfaces in $\mathbb{P}^4$ needed for the two methods of construction that we shall use.

2.1 Notation and the basic results

If not otherwise mentioned, X denotes a smooth surface in $\mathbb{P}^4$ and

- $H = H_X$ its hyperplane class;
- $K = K_X$ its canonical divisor;
- $d = d(X) = H^2$ its degree,
- $\pi = \pi(X)$ its sectional genus (the genus of a general hyperplane section);
- $\chi = \chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = p_g - q + 1$ the Euler-Poincaré characteristic of its structure sheaf.

The numerical invariants of X satisfy the *double point formula*

$$d^2 - 10d - 5H \cdot K - 2K^2 + 12\chi = 0$$

(see, for example [10]). For a curve on X the arithmetic genus can be computed by the *adjunction formula*

$$2p_a(C) - 2 = C^2 + C \cdot K.$$

The double point formula and the adjunction formula express the self-intersection number K^2 in terms of d , π and χ :

$$K^2 = \frac{d^2 - 5d - 10\pi + 12\chi + 10}{2}. \quad (2.1)$$

For curves C and D on X the adjunction formula yields the following addition rule for the arithmetic genus:

$$p_a(C \cup D) = p_a(C) + p_a(D) + C \cdot D - 1. \quad (2.2)$$

2.2 Monads

To construct a smooth surface X in $\mathbb{P}^4$, we construct its ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}_X$. Our construction of $\mathcal{I}_X$ (more generally coherent sheaves on $\mathbb{P}^n$) uses monads. Indeed, monads represent a given coherent sheaf in terms of simpler vector bundles, such as direct sum of line bundles, and homomorphisms between these simpler bundles:

Definition 2.1. A *monad* for a coherent sheaf $\mathcal{G}$ on $\mathbb{P}^n$ is a complex

$$\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{C} \quad (2.3)$$

of vector bundles on $\mathbb{P}^n$ with α an injective map and β a surjective map such that the cohomology $\text{Ker}(\beta)/\text{Im}(\alpha)$ is the coherent sheaf $\mathcal{G}$. The *display* of the monad (2.3) is the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & 0 & & 0 & & \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{K} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \mathcal{B} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Q} \longrightarrow 0, \\
& & & & \downarrow \beta & & \downarrow \\
& & & & \mathcal{C} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathcal{C} \\
& & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
& & & & 0 & & 0
\end{array} \tag{2.4}$$

where $\mathcal{K} = \text{Ker}(\beta)$ and $\mathcal{Q} = \text{Coker}(\alpha)$.

To find a monad for our ideal sheaf we use Horrocks' technique of killing cohomology. First we recall the definition of Horrocks monad:

Definition 2.2. A monad

$$\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{C}$$

for a coherent sheaf $\mathcal{G}$ on $\mathbb{P}^4$ is a *Horrocks monad* if

- (a) $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ are direct sums of line bundles;
- (b) $\mathcal{B}$ satisfies

$$H_*^i \mathcal{B} \simeq \begin{cases} 0 & i = 1, n-1 \\ H_*^i \mathcal{G} & 1 < i < n-1. \end{cases}$$

We say that this monad is *minimal* if

- (c) $\mathcal{C}$ has no direct summand which is the image of a line subbundle of $\mathcal{B}$;
- (d) there is no direct summand of $\mathcal{A}$ which is isomorphic to a direct summand of $\mathcal{B}$.

For any vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^n$, $n \geq 2$, there is a Horrocks minimal monad (see, for example [5]). We include a proof of the following special case for lack of a suitable reference.

Proposition 2.3. *There is a Horrocks minimal monad for the ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}_X$ of any 2-codimensional locally Cohen-Macaulay closed subscheme X of $\mathbb{P}^n$.*

Proof. Every minimal set of generators for $H_*^1 \mathcal{I}_X$ gives an epimorphism

$$L_0 \rightarrow H_*^1 \mathcal{I}_X \rightarrow 0, \tag{2.5}$$

where L_0 is a free $S = \mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ -module. This epimorphism defines an extension

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \widetilde{L_0} \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.6}$$

of $\mathcal{I}_X$ by $\widetilde{L}_0$, because the given epimorphism (2.5) can be regarded as an element of $H^1(\mathcal{I}_X \otimes \widetilde{L}_0) \simeq \text{Ext}^1(\widetilde{L}_0, \mathcal{I}_X)$. Taking cohomology (2.6) gives $H_*^1 \mathcal{Q} = 0$ because of (2.5). Given a minimal set of generators for the S -module $H_*^0 \omega_X(n+1)$, we have an epimorphism

$$P_0 \rightarrow H_*^0 \omega_X(n+1) \rightarrow 0,$$

where P_0 is a free S -module. Note that $\omega_X(n+1) = \mathcal{E}xt^2(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}) \simeq \mathcal{E}xt^1(\mathcal{I}_X, \mathcal{O})$. So $H^0(\omega_X(n+1) \otimes \widetilde{P}_0^\vee) \simeq H^0(\mathcal{E}xt^1(\mathcal{I}_X, \mathcal{O}) \otimes \widetilde{P}_0^\vee) \simeq \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{I}_X, \widetilde{P}_0^\vee)$, and hence we obtain an extension

$$0 \rightarrow \widetilde{P}_0^\vee \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_X \rightarrow 0. \quad (2.7)$$

By construction it is clear that $H_*^1 \mathcal{K}^\vee = 0$, and hence $H_*^{n-1} \mathcal{K} \simeq \text{Ext}_S^{n+1}(H_*^1 \mathcal{K}^\vee, S) = 0$. The exact sequence (2.6) induces

$$\cdots \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(\widetilde{L}_0, \widetilde{P}_0^\vee) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{Q}, \widetilde{P}_0^\vee) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{I}_X, \widetilde{P}_0^\vee) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^2(\widetilde{L}_0, \widetilde{P}_0^\vee) \rightarrow \cdots.$$

Since $\text{Ext}^i(\widetilde{L}_0, \widetilde{P}_0^\vee) = 0$ for $i \geq 1$, we have an isomorphism

$$\text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{Q}, \widetilde{P}_0^\vee) \cong \text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{I}_X, \widetilde{P}_0^\vee).$$

Let us denote by

$$0 \rightarrow \widetilde{P}_0^\vee \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow 0$$

the extension corresponding to (2.7). This extension can be used to complete the exact sequences (2.6) and (2.7) to the display

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{P}_0^\vee & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{K} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{I}_X \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{P}_0^\vee & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \mathcal{B} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Q} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & & & \downarrow \beta & & \downarrow \\ & & & & \widetilde{L}_0 & \equiv & \widetilde{L}_0 \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & & & 0 & & 0 \end{array} \quad (2.8)$$

of a monad

$$\widetilde{P}_0^\vee \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{\beta} \widetilde{L}_0 \quad (2.9)$$

for $\mathcal{I}_X$. From the display (2.8) it follows that $\mathcal{B}$ satisfies (b), because both the modules $H_*^1 \mathcal{Q}$ and $H_*^{n-1} \mathcal{K}$ vanish. So the monad (2.9) is a Horrocks monad.

Finally we show the minimality of (2.9). It is obvious that $\widetilde{L}_0$ (resp. $\widetilde{P}_0$) has a direct summand which is the image of a line subbundle of $\mathcal{B}$ (resp. $\mathcal{B}^\vee$) if and only if $\mathcal{Q}$ (resp. $\mathcal{K}$) has a direct summand which is the image of a line subbundle of $\mathcal{B}$ (resp. $\mathcal{B}^\vee$). By construction, however, $\mathcal{Q}$ and $\mathcal{K}$ do not have such a direct summand, which completes the proof. $\square$

Remark 2.4. For the ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}_X$ of a smooth surface X in $\mathbb{P}^4$ the Horrocks monad is closely related to the minimal free resolutions of the Hartshorne-Rao modules. Suppose that $H_*^2 \mathcal{I}_X$ does not vanish. Let us denote the minimal free resolution of $H_*^2 \mathcal{I}_X$ by

$$0 \longleftarrow H_*^2 \mathcal{I}_X \longleftarrow F_0 \xleftarrow{f_0} F_1 \xleftarrow{f_1} F_2 \xleftarrow{f_2} F_3 \xleftarrow{f_3} F_4 \xleftarrow{f_4} F_5 \longleftarrow 0.$$

Then $\mathcal{B}$ has the same intermediate cohomology modules as the second sheafified syzygy module of $H_*^2 \mathcal{I}_X$. Hence $H_*^0 \mathcal{B}$ is a direct sum of $B = \text{Ker}(f_1)$ and a free S -module (see [7] for the proof). Frequently, $\mathcal{B}$ is isomorphic to $\tilde{B}$, and the minimal free resolution of $H_*^2 \mathcal{I}_X$ decomposes as

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0 & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix} & & & \\ & & & \longleftarrow & & & \\ \cdots & \longleftarrow & F_1 & \longleftarrow & L_0 \oplus F'_2 & \longleftarrow & L_1 \oplus F'_3 \longleftarrow F_4 \longleftarrow \cdots \\ & & & \swarrow & \searrow & & \\ & & & B & & & \\ & & \swarrow & & \searrow & & \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & \end{array}$$

including the minimal free presentation of $H_*^1 \mathcal{I}_X$:

$$0 \longleftarrow H_*^1 \mathcal{I}_X \longleftarrow L_0 \xleftarrow{\alpha_0} L_1.$$

So to construct a smooth surface X in $\mathbb{P}^4$, we construct the Hartshorne-Rao modules $H_*^1 \mathcal{I}_X$ and $H_*^2 \mathcal{I}_X$ first.

In this case the bundle $\mathcal{K}$ can be described explicitly. So to construct the ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}_X$, we use the determinantal construction following [7], namely we establish that the determinantal locus of the general morphism $\psi \in \text{Hom}(\tilde{P}_0^\vee, \mathcal{K})$ is indeed a smooth surface.

To construct $H_*^1 \mathcal{I}_X$ and $H_*^2 \mathcal{I}_X$, we need information on the dimensions $h^i \mathcal{I}_X(m)$ in some range of twists. This information can be obtained from the Riemann-Roch theorem:

Theorem 2.5 (Riemann-Roch). *Let X be a smooth surface in $\mathbb{P}^4$ of degree d , sectional genus π , geometric genus p_g and irregularity q . Then*

$$\chi(\mathcal{I}_X(m)) = \chi(\mathcal{O}(m)) - \binom{m+1}{2} d + m(\pi - 1) - 1 + q - p_g.$$

Since surfaces on quadric and cubic hypersurfaces are completely classified (cf. [12]), we may assume that

$$h^0 \mathcal{I}_X(2) = h^0 \mathcal{I}_X(3) = 0.$$

We observe that some of the cohomology groups vanish:

Proposition 2.6 ([7]). *Let X be a smooth non-general type surface in $\mathbb{P}^4$ which is not lying*

on any cubic hypersurface. Then we have the following table for the dimensions $h^i \mathcal{I}_X(j)$:

	$\uparrow$					
	i					
	0	0	0	0	0	4
	$N+1$	p_g	0	0	0	3
	0	q	s	$h^2 \mathcal{I}_X(2)$	$h^2 \mathcal{I}_X(3)$	2
	0	0	0	$h^1 \mathcal{I}_X(2)$	$h^1 \mathcal{I}_X(3)$	1
	0	0	0	0	0	0
	$\downarrow$					
	j					
	-1	0	1	2	3	

where $N = \pi - q + p_g - 1$ and $s = \pi - d + 3 + q - p_g$.

In the sequel we represent a zero in a cohomology table by an empty box.

2.3 Liaison

We recall the definition and some basic results from [15].

Definition 2.7. Let X and X' be surfaces in $\mathbb{P}^4$ with no irreducible component in common. Two surfaces X and X' are said to be *linked* (m, n) if there exist hypersurfaces V and V' of degree m and n respectively such that $V \cap V' = X \cup X'$.

There are two standard sequences of linkage, namely

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(K) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X \cup X'}(m+n-5) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X'}(m+n-5) \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(K) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(m+n-5) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X \cap X'}(m+n-5) \rightarrow 0.$$

For the construction we will use the following theorem:

Proposition 2.8 ([15]). *Let X be a locally complete intersection surface in $\mathbb{P}^4$. If X is scheme-theoretically cut out by hypersurfaces of degree d , then X is linked to a smooth surface X' in the complete intersection of two hypersurfaces of degree d .*

3 A monad construction

Let $\mathbb{P}^4$ be the 4-dimensional projective space over $\mathbb{C}$ with homogeneous coordinate ring $S = \mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_4]$. We construct an example of a smooth irregular proper elliptic surface in $\mathbb{P}^4$ using Horrocks' technique of killing cohomology.

Theorem 3.1. *There exists a smooth, minimal, proper elliptic surface in $\mathbb{P}^4$ with $d = 12$, $\pi = 13$, $p_g = 3$ and $q = 1$.*

Proof. For a smooth surface X in $\mathbb{P}^4$ with the given invariants, formula (2.1) leads to $K^2 = 0$. By the Riemann-Roch formula and Proposition 2.6, the table

					4	
15	3				3	
	1	2	a	b	2	$h^i \mathcal{I}_X(j)$
			a	$b+4$	1	
					0	
-1	0	1	2	3	j	

reflects the relations between the dimensions $h^i \mathcal{I}_X(j)$ in the range $-1 \leq j \leq 3$ of twists. A simple example of a finite length graded S -module with Hilbert function $(1, 2, \dots)$ is the module M with minimal free presentation:

$$0 \longleftarrow M \longleftarrow S \xleftarrow{f_0} 3S(-1) \oplus 2S(-2),$$

where $f_0 = (x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3^2, x_4^2)$. The minimal free resolution of M is of the following form:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 \longleftarrow M \longleftarrow S & \swarrow & 3S(-1) & & 3S(-2) & & S(-3) \\
& & \oplus & & \oplus & & \oplus \\
& & 2S(-2) & \swarrow & 6S(-3) & \longleftarrow & 6S(-4) & \swarrow & 2S(-5) \\
& & & & \oplus & & \oplus & & \oplus \\
& & & & S(-4) & & 3S(-5) & & 3S(-6) & \swarrow & S(-7) \longleftarrow 0.
\end{array}$$

Suppose that $H_*^2 \mathcal{I}_X = M$. Then $a = 1$ and $b = 0$. Let B be the second syzygy module of M . We will suppose that $\tilde{B}$ is the middle term of the Horrocks minimal monad for $\mathcal{I}_X$:

$$\widetilde{P}_0^\vee \rightarrow \tilde{B} \rightarrow \widetilde{L}_0.$$

With suitably chosen bases, B is generated by the column of the matrix

$$f_2 = \begin{pmatrix} x_2 & x_3^2 & 0 & 0 & x_4^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -x_1 & 0 & x_3^2 & 0 & 0 & x_4^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ x_0 & 0 & 0 & x_3^2 & 0 & 0 & x_4^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -x_1 & -x_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & x_4^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x_0 & 0 & -x_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & x_4^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x_0 & x_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & x_4^2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -x_1 & -x_2 & 0 & -x_3^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & x_0 & 0 & -x_2 & 0 & -x_3^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & x_0 & x_1 & 0 & 0 & -x_3^2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & x_0 & x_1 & x_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For simplicity we denote by F_2 and F_3 the target and source of f_2 respectively. From the minimal free resolution of M it follows that $\tilde{B}$ has the following Beilinson cohomology table:

					4	
1					3	
					2	$h^i \tilde{B}(j)$
	1	2	1		1	
					0	
				1		
-1	0	1	2	3	j	

Suppose that $H_*^1 \mathcal{I}_X$ is generated in the first non-zero twist, that is, monogeneous. Then $\widetilde{L}_0 = \mathcal{O}(-2)$. Since $p_g = h^3 \mathcal{I}_X = 3$, the map $3S \rightarrow H_*^0 \omega_X$ forms part of the minimal generating set of $H_*^0 \omega_X$. From the cohomology table of $\widetilde{B}$,

$$h^4 \widetilde{P}_0^\vee(-1) = h^4 \mathcal{I}_X(-1) + h^4 \widetilde{B}(-1) = 15 + 1 = 16.$$

So $\widetilde{P}_0^\vee$ must contain $\mathcal{O}(-4)$ as a direct summand. Since $\text{rank}(\widetilde{B}) = 6$, we can deduce that $\widetilde{P}_0^\vee \simeq 3\mathcal{O}(-5) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-4)$. So the Horrocks monad for $\mathcal{I}_X$ is of type

$$3\mathcal{O}(-5) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-4) \rightarrow \widetilde{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-2)$$

and we have the display of the monad:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & 0 & & 0 & \\ & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & 3\mathcal{O}(-5) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-4) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{K} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{I}_X \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & 3\mathcal{O}(-5) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-4) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{B} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Q} \longrightarrow 0. \end{array} \quad (3.1)$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{O}(-2) & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathcal{O}(-2) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & & 0 \end{array}$$

Next we look for an appropriate finite length graded module N with Hilbert function $(1, 4, \dots)$ which is monogeneous. Recall that $P_0^\vee$ contains $S(-4)$ as a direct summand. So to construct such a module, we have to find a map $\phi : F_2 \rightarrow S(-2)$ such that the source of the syzygy matrix of $f_2 \circ \phi : F_3 \rightarrow S(-2)$ includes $S(-4)$ as a direct summand. For instance, the map given by the matrix

$$\phi = (1, 0, 0, 0, 0, -x_0, 0, 0, x_1, 0) : F_2 \rightarrow S(-2)$$

satisfies the desired condition. One shows by straightforward calculations that the cokernel of $f_2 \circ \phi$ is of finite length and that N has the minimal free resolution of type

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 \leftarrow N \leftarrow S(-2) & \nwarrow & S(-3) & & & & \\ & \oplus & \nwarrow & 7S(-5) & 2S(-6) & & \\ & 5S(-4) & & \oplus & \nwarrow & 11S(-7) & 4S(-8) \\ & & & 7S(-6) & & \oplus & \nwarrow \\ & & & & & 3S(-8) & 5S(-9) \nwarrow 2S(-10) \leftarrow 0. \end{array}$$

In this case $\mathcal{K}$ has syzygies of type

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & \mathcal{O}(-4) & & & \\ & & & \oplus & & & \\ 0 \leftarrow \mathcal{K} \leftarrow 8\mathcal{O}(-5) & & 2\mathcal{O}(-6) & & & & \\ & & \oplus & \nwarrow & 10\mathcal{O}(-7) & 4\mathcal{O}(-8) & \\ & & 4\mathcal{O}(-6) & & \oplus & \nwarrow & \\ & & & & 3\mathcal{O}(-8) & 5\mathcal{O}(-9) \nwarrow 2\mathcal{O}(-10) \leftarrow 0. \end{array}$$

The ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}_X$ will be obtained via an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow 3\mathcal{O}(-5) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-4) \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_X \rightarrow 0.$$

However X will be obtained as the determinantal locus of the general map between the vector bundles $3\mathcal{O}(-5)$ and $\mathcal{E}$, where $\mathcal{E}$ is the cokernel of a non-zero map $\mathcal{O}(-4) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$. Indeed, the map

$$\psi = {}^t(0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0) : S(-4) \rightarrow F_3$$

forms part of the minimal generating set of $\text{Syz}(f_2 \circ \phi)$ and a straightforward calculation shows that the cokernel of $(\psi \circ f_2)^\vee$ is of finite length. This means that for a non-zero element of $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}(-4), \mathcal{K})$ the cokernel $\mathcal{E}$ is a rank four vector bundle. Clearly the minimal free resolution of $\mathcal{E}$ is of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 8\mathcal{O}(-5) & & 2\mathcal{O}(-6) & & \\ & & \oplus & & \oplus & & \\ 0 \leftarrow \mathcal{E} \leftarrow & & 4\mathcal{O}(-6) & \nwarrow & 10\mathcal{O}(-7) & \nwarrow & 4\mathcal{O}(-8) \\ & & & \oplus & \oplus & & \\ & & & 3\mathcal{O}(-8) & 5\mathcal{O}(-9) & \nwarrow & 2\mathcal{O}(-10) \leftarrow 0. \end{array}$$

By using *Macaulay 2*, we can check that the determinantal locus $V(f)$ of the general map $f \in \text{Hom}(3\mathcal{O}(-5), \mathcal{E})$ is a smooth surface. The invariants are computed from the minimal free resolution of $\mathcal{I}_X$. Since $\mathcal{I}_X$ has the presentation

$$0 \rightarrow 3\mathcal{O}(-5) \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_X \rightarrow 0, \quad (3.2)$$

the minimal free resolution of $\mathcal{I}_X$ can be computed from that of $\mathcal{E}$ and has the shape

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 5\mathcal{O}(-5) & & 2\mathcal{O}(-6) & & \\ & & \oplus & & \oplus & & \\ 0 \leftarrow \mathcal{I}_X \leftarrow & & 4\mathcal{O}(-6) & \nwarrow & 10\mathcal{O}(-7) & \nwarrow & 4\mathcal{O}(-8) \\ & & & \oplus & \oplus & & \\ & & & 3\mathcal{O}(-8) & 5\mathcal{O}(-9) & \nwarrow & 2\mathcal{O}(-10) \leftarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Computing the Hilbert Polynomial of X from this resolution, we conclude that X has degree $d = 12$, sectional genus $\pi = 13$, and Euler characteristic $\chi = 3$. From (2.1) we now get $K^2 = 0$. Dualizing (3.2), we obtain

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-5) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^\vee \rightarrow 3\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \omega_X \rightarrow 0.$$

So $p_g = 3$ and X is irregular with $q = 1$. Furthermore ω_X is globally generated by its own three sections, and thus these sections define the canonical map

$$\Phi = \Phi_{|K|} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2.$$

Then we have the Stein factorization of Φ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & C \subset \mathbb{P}^2, \\ & \searrow p & \nearrow \\ & B & \end{array}$$

where p has connected fibers. Let F be a fiber of p . Then $F \cdot (F + K) = 2K^2 = 0$. So it follows from the adjunction formula that $p_a(F) = 1$, which implies that the smooth fibers of p are elliptic curves. Therefore X is an elliptic surface. Finally, since $K^2 = 0$, the surface is minimal, which completes the proof. $\square$

Remark 3.2. The surface constructed above may also be obtained as the dependency locus of two general sections of a rank three vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^4$. In fact, in the notation of the above proof, define a homomorphism by

$$\psi' = {}^t(0, x_1, 0, 0, x_0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1) : S(-5) \rightarrow F_3.$$

Then ψ' forms part of the minimal set of generators for $\text{Syz}(f_2 \circ \phi)$. So ψ' induces a map $g : \mathcal{O}(-5) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$. One can show by a straightforward calculation that g is an injective bundle map. The cokernel $\mathcal{F}$ of g is a rank three vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^4$, and thus X can be regarded as the dependency locus of two general sections of $\mathcal{F}$.

4 A liaison construction

We first motivate this second construction. Let X be the irregular elliptic surface in $\mathbb{P}^4$ constructed in the previous section. With *Macaulay 2* we can check that the quintics containing X intersect in

$$V(H^0(\mathcal{I}_X(5))) = X \cup X_0,$$

where X_0 is a singular rational cubic scroll surface in a hyperplane H_0 of $\mathbb{P}^4$. The scroll X_0 may be obtained by projecting a smooth rational cubic scroll S in $\mathbb{P}^4$ from a point off S . The scroll S contains a unique directrix line. Let L be the image of this line on X_0 .

We can check a few more facts with *Macaulay 2*. The surface X does not intersect the line L . On the other hand the hyperplane section $H_0 \cap X$, denoted by C_0 , is equal to $X_0 \cap X$, and hence $\deg(C_0) = 12$ and $\pi(C_0) = 13$. Let $\widetilde{C}_0$ and $\widetilde{L}$ be the inverse images of C_0 and L respectively on S . Then $\widetilde{C}_0 \equiv 3(H_S + \widetilde{L})$, since $\widetilde{C}_0$ does not intersect $\widetilde{L}$. Now, $C_0 = X \cap X_0 = X \cap H_0$, so $X \cup X_0$ is locally a complete intersection cut out by quintics. Therefore, by Proposition 2.8, $X \cup X_0$ can be linked $(5, 5)$ to a smooth general type surface T of degree $d = 10$, $\pi = 10$ and $\chi = 4$. This implies that X is reobtained as the residual surface of $X_0 \cup T$ in the complete intersection of two quintics in $H^0(\mathcal{I}_{X_0 \cup T}(5))$. Let $C = X_0 \cap (X \cup T)$. Compare the second standard exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_0}(K_{X_0}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_0}(5) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(5) \rightarrow 0$$

with the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_0}(-C) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_0} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow 0.$$

Then we can deduce that $C \equiv 5H_{X_0} - K_{X_0} \equiv 6H_{X_0}$. On S we get $\widetilde{C} \equiv 6H_S$. Let $C_1 := X_0 \cap T$ and let $\widetilde{C}_1$ be its inverse image on S . Then $\widetilde{C}_1 \equiv 3(H_S - \widetilde{L})$, and hence $\widetilde{C}_1$ is the disjoint union of six members of the ruling on S . The singular locus of X_0 is a line, so C has six double points along this line. Three of these appear already on C_0 since $p(\widetilde{C}_0) = 10 = p(C_0) - 3$ and are precisely the intersection of C_0 with the singular locus. The other three points are therefore intersections of pairs of rulings in C_1 . Thus C_1 consists of three disjoint singular conic sections that each lie in a plane that contains the line L .

We recall some facts about smooth general type surfaces T of degree $d = 10$, $\pi = 10$ and $\chi = 4$ from [14], Proposition 4.18. First of all, any such surface T can be linked $(4, 4)$ to the union of a degenerate cubic scroll U_0 formed by three planes and a Del Pezzo surface U_1 of degree 3 such that each plane in U_0 intersect the hyperplane of U_1 along the same line L on U_1 . The line L is the unique 6-secant line to T . Every hyperplane through L intersect T in a section which is contained in a cubic surface. Furthermore, the surface T is embedded by the linear system

$$|2K - A_1 - A_2 - A_3| \tag{4.1}$$

in $\mathbb{P}^4$, where A_1 , A_2 and A_3 are pairwise disjoint (-2) -curves embedded as conics that each intersect L in two points.

We now want to choose an appropriate surface T together with a cubic surface X_0 such that their union is linked $(5, 5)$ to a smooth irregular minimal elliptic surface. On such a surface T the three conics in $C_1 = X_0 \cap T$ all have a common secant line which coincides with L , so we assume that $C_1 = A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3$.

In particular we want C_1 to be contained in a hyperplane. Let D be the residual curve $H_T - C_1$. Then $C_1 \cdot D = C_1 \cdot (H_T - C_1) = 6 + 6 = 12$ and $p_a(D) = 1$ by (2.2). This means that D is an elliptic curve of degree 4. So to construct an irregular elliptic surface X , we find a smooth general type surface T in $\mathbb{P}^4$ with $d = 10$, $\pi = 10$ and $\chi = 4$ and a hyperplane H_0 of $\mathbb{P}^4$ such that

- H_0 contains the 6-secant L to T ;
- $H_0 \cap T = C_1 \cup D$, where C_1 is the disjoint union of three smooth (-2) -conics and D is an elliptic curve of degree 4 such that $D \cap L = \emptyset$ and $C_1 \cap D$ consists of 12 points.

Since the hyperplane H_0 of $C_1 \cup D$ contains L , the hyperplane section lies in a unique cubic surface, which we denote by U_1 . On the other hand the curve C_1 lies in the cubic surface defined by the three planes of the conics in C_1 . The general element of $H^0\mathcal{J}_{C_1, H_0}(3)$ therefore defines a smooth cubic surface X_0 , which does not coincide with U_1 . We will show that the surface linked $(5, 5)$ to the reducible surface $T \cup X_0$ is a smooth irregular minimal elliptic surface. But first we make the explicit construction.

Construction: Let $H_0 = V(h_0)$ be a hyperplane of $\mathbb{P}^4$ and $L = V(h_0, h_1, h_2)$ a line in $\mathbb{P}^4$. Then three quadric minors Q_1, Q_2 and Q_3 of a 2×3 matrix whose entries are $\mathbb{C}$ -linear combinations of h_0, h_1 and h_2 , define a degenerate cubic scroll U_0 . By construction, U_0 then consists of three planes through the line L . Next, we define a cubic Del Pezzo surface U_1 in H_0 given by a general cubic f in $H^0\mathcal{J}_{L, H_0}(3)$. An elliptic curve D on U_1 , which does not meet L can be obtained as the general member of the linear system $|H_{U_1} + L|$. Explicitly, we consider a plane conic $A \in |H_{U_1} - L|$ on U_1 and take a quadric $q \in H^0\mathcal{J}_{A, H_0}(2)$. Then $D = B - A$, where $B = V(h_0, q)$.

The homogeneous ideal I_D of D can be written as

$$I_D = (h_0, q_1, q_2),$$

where $q_1, q_2 \in H^0\mathcal{O}_{H_0}(2)$ such that $f \in (q_1, q_2)$. Consider the double structure $D_2 = V(h_0^2, q_1, q_2) \subset \mathbb{P}^4$. Then D_2 is not contained in U_1 . Multiplication by h_0 defines the following exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{J}_{U_0 \cup D}(3) \xrightarrow{\cdot h_0} \mathcal{J}_{U_1 \cup U_0 \cup D_2}(4) \longrightarrow \mathcal{J}_{(U_1 \cup U_0 \cup D_2) \cap H_0, H_0}(4) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{J}_{U_0}(2) \xrightarrow{\cdot h_0} \mathcal{J}_{U_0 \cup D}(3) \longrightarrow \mathcal{J}_{(U_0 \cup D) \cap H_0, H_0}(3) \longrightarrow 0.$$

The curve $(U_0 \cup D) \cap H_0$ is the union of the first order neighborhood on L and the elliptic curve D of degree 4 with $D \cap L = \emptyset$, so we have $H^0\mathcal{J}_{(U_0 \cup D) \cap H_0, H_0}(3) = 0$. We therefore conclude that $h^0\mathcal{J}_{U_0 \cup D}(3) = h^0\mathcal{J}_{U_0}(2) = 3$. Furthermore, $H^0\mathcal{J}_{(U_1 \cup U_0 \cup D) \cap H_0, H_0}(4) \simeq H^0\mathcal{J}_{L, H_0}(1)$, and hence $h^0\mathcal{J}_{U_1 \cup U_0 \cup D_2}(4) \leq 5$.

On the other hand, the following example tells us that $h^0\mathcal{J}_{U_1 \cup U_0 \cup D_2}(4) \geq 5$:

Example 4.1. Let $S = \mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_4]$ be the homogeneous coordinate ring of $\mathbb{P}^4$. We define the homogeneous ideals $I_{H_0}, I_L, I_{U_0}, I_{U_1}$ and I_D by

$$\begin{aligned} I_{H_0} &= (h_0) = (x_1); \\ I_L &= (h_0, h_1, h_2) = (x_0, x_1, x_4); \\ I_{U_0} &= (Q_1, Q_2, Q_3) = ((x_1 - x_4)(x_4 - x_0), (x_4 - x_0)(x_1 + x_0), (x_1 + x_0)(x_1 - x_4)); \\ I_{U_1} &= (h_0, f) = (x_1, (x_4x_0 - x_2x_3)x_0 + (x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2)x_4); \\ I_D &= (h_0, q_1, q_2) = (x_1, x_4x_0 - x_2x_3, x^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2). \end{aligned}$$

Then the following quartics form part of the minimal generating set of $I_{U_1 \cup U_0 \cup D_2}$:

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 &= (x_1^2 - x_4^2)h_0^2; \\ g_2 &= (-x_1^2 + x_4^2 + Q_3)h_0^2; \\ g_3 &= h_0^2q_2 - (x_0x_4 + x_1x_4)Q_1 + (-q_1 + x_1x_4 - x_4^2)Q_2 + q_2Q_3 + x_4^2q_2; \\ g_4 &= (x_1^2 - x_4^2 - Q_2 - Q_3)h_0^2; \\ g_5 &= q_2h_0^2 + (-x_1x_4 + x_4^2)Q_2 + (-x_2x_3 + x_4^2)Q_3 - x_4^2q_2. \end{aligned}$$

The sequence of global sections

$$0 \longrightarrow H^0\mathcal{J}_{U_0 \cup D}(3) \xrightarrow{\cdot h_0} H^0\mathcal{J}_{U_1 \cup U_0 \cup D_2}(4) \longrightarrow H^0\mathcal{J}_{(U_1 \cup U_0 \cup D_2) \cap H_0, H_0}(4) \longrightarrow 0.$$

is therefore exact. Let f_1, f_2 be general elements in $H^0\mathcal{J}_{U_1 \cup U_0 \cup D_2}(4)$. By Bertini, the complete intersection $V(f_1)$ and $V(f_2)$ is singular at most along the base locus of the quartics in $H^0\mathcal{J}_{U_1 \cup U_0 \cup D_2}(4)$. Therefore $U_0 \cup U_1$ is linked $(4, 4)$ to a smooth surface T of $d = 10$ and $\pi = 10$ in the complete intersection $V(f_1, f_2)$. The surface T contains D_2 , and hence D . Notice that $T \cap H_0 = T \cap U_1$. We denote $T \cap U_1$ by C and the residual curve $C - D$ by C_1 . Since $\deg(C) = 10$ and $p_a(C) = 10$, the divisor C of U_1 can be written as

$$C = 4H_{U_1} - 2L.$$

So $C_1 = 3(H_{U_1} - L)$, which implies that C_1 is the disjoint union of three conics and the intersection $D \cap C_1$ is 12 points. Each conic of C_1 is contained in a unique plane, and these three planes form a cubic surface U_∞ in H_0 . So we have the pencil of cubics containing C_1 spanned by U_1 and U_∞ , and the general member of this pencil is smooth. Therefore we may choose a smooth cubic surface X_0 containing C_1 such that $X_0 \neq U_1$ and does not contain D . But D is a quartic curve, so $X_0 \cap D$ is 12 points (distinct by a general choice of X_0). Therefore $X_0 \cap D = C_1 \cap D$, and $C_1 = X_0 \cap T$. In particular X_0 and T intersect transversally along C_1 , and hence $Y = T \cup X_0$ is locally complete intersection.

Theorem 4.2. *The reducible surface Y is linked $(5, 5)$ to a minimal smooth elliptic surface with $d = 12$, $\pi = 13$, $p_g = 3$, and $q = 1$.*

Proof. For smoothness it suffices, by Proposition 2.8, to show that $\mathcal{J}_Y(5)$ is globally generated. We first estimate $h^0(\mathcal{J}_Y(5))$:

Lemma 4.3. *The residual exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{J}_T(4) \xrightarrow{\cdot h_0} \mathcal{J}_Y(5) \longrightarrow \mathcal{J}_{Y \cap H_0, H_0}(5) \longrightarrow 0$$

remains exact on global sections.

Proof. We have already shown that the residual curve $D = C - C_1$ is an elliptic curve of degree 4. So $H^0\mathcal{J}_{Y \cap H_0, H_0}(5) \simeq H^0\mathcal{J}_{D \cup X_0, H_0}(5) \simeq H^0\mathcal{J}_{D, H_0}(2)$, and thus $h^0\mathcal{J}_{Y \cap H_0, H_0}(5) = 2$. On the other hand $h^0\mathcal{J}_T(4) = 3$ and $h^1\mathcal{J}_T(4) = 1$ by [14]. In order to prove the lemma, it is therefore enough to show that $h^0\mathcal{J}_Y(5) = 5$. Let $H = V(h)$ be a hyperplane containing the 6-secant line L to T , but not X_0 . Then multiplication by h defines the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{J}_Y(4) \xrightarrow{\cdot h} \mathcal{J}_Y(5) \longrightarrow \mathcal{J}_{Y \cap H, H}(5) \longrightarrow 0.$$

If $h^0\mathcal{J}_{Y \cap H, H}(5) = 7$, then $h^0\mathcal{J}_Y(5) = 5$, because $h^0\mathcal{J}_Y(4) = 0$, $h^1\mathcal{J}_Y(4) = 2$ and $h^2\mathcal{J}_Y(4) = 0$. So we shall show that $h^0\mathcal{J}_{Y \cap H, H}(5) = 7$.

Since H contains L , the hyperplane section $Y \cap H$ consists of L , a conic W_0 in X_0 and the hyperplane section $Z = T \cap H$. For simplicity, $Z \cup L$ will be denoted by W_1 . Then multiplication by h_0 defines the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_{W_1}(4) \xrightarrow{\cdot h_0} \mathcal{J}_{W_0 \cup W_1}(5) \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_{(W_0 \cup W_1) \cap P, P}(5) \rightarrow 0, \quad (4.2)$$

where $P = H \cap H_0$. The vector space $H^0\mathcal{J}_{(W_0 \cup W_1) \cap P, P}(5)$ is spanned by the conics in P containing the 4 points obtained as $T \cap P - T \cap L$, so $h^0\mathcal{J}_{(W_0 \cup W_1) \cap P, P}(5) = 2$.

On the other hand $Z \cdot L = 6$, so

$$p_a(W_1) = p_a(Z) + p_a(L) + Z \cdot L - 1 = 10 - 0 + 6 - 1 = 15.$$

By the Riemann-Roch formula,

$$\chi(\mathcal{O}_{W_1}(4)) = 4 \deg(W_1) + 1 - p_a(W_1) = 44 + 1 - 15 = 30,$$

and hence $\chi(\mathcal{J}_{W_1}(4)) = 5$, which implies that $h^0\mathcal{J}_{W_1}(4) \geq 5$. But $h^0\mathcal{J}_{W_1}(4) \leq h^0\mathcal{J}_Z(4) \leq 5$, so $h^0\mathcal{J}_{W_1}(4) = 5$ and $h^1\mathcal{J}_{W_1}(4) = 0$. Thus from (4.2) we have $h^0\mathcal{J}_{W_1 \cup W_2}(5) = 7$. $\square$

Lemma 4.4. *The reducible surface $Y = T \cup X_0$ is scheme-theoretic cut out by 5 quintic hypersurfaces.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.3 the residual sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_T(4) \xrightarrow{\cdot h_0} \mathcal{J}_Y(5) \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_{D \cup X_0, H_0}(5) \rightarrow 0$$

remains exact after taking global sections, so the intersection of the base locus in the middle with H_0 coincides with the base locus on the right. But $\mathcal{J}_{D \cup X_0, H_0}(5)$ is globally generated by its own two global sections, because $H^0\mathcal{J}_{D \cup X_0, H_0}(5) \simeq H^0\mathcal{J}_{D, H_0}(2)$, so the base locus of the quintics through Y would be in U_0 . As we can check in the example given in [1], p.107, there is a quintic hypersurface V through Y such that V does not contain any plane of U_0 . So this is the general property, and hence we may assume the existence of such a quintic hypersurface V . Note that V intersects each plane of U_0 in a quartic plane curve in T and the line L in X_0 . So Y is scheme-theoretically cut out by 5 quintics. $\square$

Now we compute the sectional genus π , the geometric genus p_g and the irregularity q of X . From the standard liaison sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(K_X) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{T \cup X_0 \cup X}(5) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{T \cup X_0}(5) \rightarrow 0,$$

we have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_{T \cup X_0 \cup X}(5) \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_{T \cup X_0}(5) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(K_X) \rightarrow 0. \quad (4.3)$$

Twisting by $\mathcal{O}(-1)$ and taking cohomology, we can compute the speciality

$$s = h^1 \mathcal{O}_X(H_X) = h^1 \mathcal{O}_X(K_X - H_X) = h^1 \mathcal{J}_{T \cup X_0}(4) = h^1 \mathcal{J}_T(3) = 2.$$

The exact sequence remains exact on global sections, because $T \cup X_0 \cup X$ is a complete intersection of two quintics. Recall, from above, that $h^0 \mathcal{J}_{T \cup X_0}(5) = 5$, so we have

$$p_g = h^0 \mathcal{O}_X(K_X) = h^0 \mathcal{J}_{T \cup X_0}(5) - h^0 \mathcal{J}_{T \cup X_0 \cup X}(5) = 5 - 2 = 3.$$

Since $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_X(K_X)) = \chi(\mathcal{J}_{T \cup X_0}(5)) - \chi(\mathcal{J}_{T \cup X_0 \cup X}(5)) = 3$, we have $q = 1$. Now the speciality $s = h^1 \mathcal{O}_X(H_X) = 2$ and $h^2 \mathcal{O}_X(H_X) = 0$, so by Riemann Roch

$$H_X \cdot K_X = H_X^2 + 2\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) - 2\chi(\mathcal{O}_X(H_X)) = 12 + 2 \cdot 3 - 2 \cdot (5 - 2) = 12.$$

In particular, by the adjunction formula, $\pi = 13$. Formula (2.1) yields $K^2 = 0$.

Finally we show that X is an elliptic surface. To do this, we show that X is minimal. Since $K^2 = 0$ and $p_g = 3$, it is enough to prove that K has no fixed components. Let $C = (X \cup T) \cap X_0$. By the standard liaison sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_0}(K_{X_0}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_0}(5) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(5) \rightarrow 0$$

and the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_0}(-C) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_0} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow 0,$$

we can see that $C \equiv 5H_{X_0} - K_{X_0} \equiv 6H_{X_0}$. Recall that $C_1 = T \cap X_0$ is the residual curve $T \cap H_0 - D$. So $C_1 \equiv 3H_{X_0} - 3L$ on X_0 . Therefore $C_0 = X \cap X_0 \equiv 3H_{X_0} + 3L$. In particular C_0 has degree 12, which implies that $X \cap X_0$ is equal to the hyperplane section $H_X = X \cap H_0$. Applying the analogous argument to X shows that $(T \cup X_0) \cap X = 5H_X - K_X$. Thus $X \cap T \equiv 4H_X - K_X$. This says that any quartic hypersurface containing T intersects X in $X \cap T$ and K_X . Note that there is a net of such quartics. Consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & \mathcal{J}_T(4) & & & & \\ & & \downarrow & \searrow & & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{J}_{T \cup X_0 \cup X}(5) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{J}_{T \cup X_0}(5) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_X(K_X) \longrightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

The global sections of $\mathcal{J}_T(4)$ are mapped to $H^0 \mathcal{O}_X(K_X)$ injectively, because we have chosen two general quintics of $H^0 \mathcal{J}_{T \cup X_0}(5)$. Since $p_g = 3$, we have an isomorphism

$$H^0 \mathcal{J}_T(4) \simeq H^0 \mathcal{O}_X(K_X).$$

This implies that every canonical divisor of X appears in the way described above. Notice that the base locus of the quartics through T is the union of T and the three planes U_0 . Therefore any base component of $|K_X|$ would lie in U_0 . As claimed in the proof of Lemma 4.4, any quintic through T which does not contain any plane of U_0 intersects each plane of U_0 in a quartic plane curve in T and the line in X_0 . So if there would be a curve in $X \cap U_0$, then this curve would meet L . On the other hand, $C_0 \cdot L = (3H_{X_0} + 3L) \cdot L = 0$ on X_0 . This is a contradiction, and hence $|K_X|$ has no fixed component. $\square$

Remark 4.5. Let X be an irregular elliptic surface given as above. We have shown that the canonical system $|K_X|$ is base point free. In other words, the canonical bundle ω_X is generated by its own three global sections, because $p_g = 3$. This leads to the following:

(i) The canonical system $|K_X|$ defines a morphism $\Phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$, and $\dim \Phi(X) = 1$, because $K_X^2 = 0$. Let $\Phi = s \circ r$ be the Stein factorization of Φ . Then, as we saw in the proof of Theorem 3.1, the smooth fibers of r are elliptic curves.

(ii) From the generalized Serre correspondence [13] it follows that there is a rank four vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ with Chern classes $(c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4) = (5, 12, 12, 0)$ and three sections of $\mathcal{E}$ whose dependency locus is X . However we have not been able to prove that every irregular elliptic surface we have constructed above arises from a rank three vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^4$ with Chern classes $(c_1, c_2, c_3) = (5, 12, 12)$ (compare Remark 3.2).

Remark 4.6. Let X be a smooth surface in $\mathbb{P}^4$ with $d = 12$, $\pi = 13$, $p_g = 3$ and $q = 1$. Is it clear that the X is as above? In this last remark we sketch an argument why this is true as soon as $h^1\mathcal{I}_X(2) = 1$. We conjecture, but cannot prove that this is always the case. If $h^1\mathcal{I}_X(2) = 1$, then $h^2\mathcal{I}_X(2) = 1$ by the Riemann-Roch theorem. Using Beilinson's theorem [6] and a remark in [8], we can deduce that $h^2\mathcal{I}_X(3) = 0$, and thus $h^1\mathcal{I}_X(3) = 4$. This implies that there exists the unique hyperplane H_0 such that $h^0\mathcal{I}_{X \cap H_0}(3) = 1$. Let X_0 be the unique cubic surface in H_0 containing $X \cap H_0$. Then the residual surface $X \cup X_0$ is locally complete intersection, because $X \cap H_0$ and $X \cap X_0$ coincide. Suppose that $X \cup X_0$ is scheme-theoretically cut out by quintics. Then the linked surface T is a smooth general type surface of $d = 10$, $\pi = 10$ and $\chi = 4$. So X is reobtained as the surface linked $(5, 5)$ to $T \cup X_0$, and hence X is an elliptic surface as above.

References

- [1] Abo, H. *Rank two and rank three vector bundles on the projective fourspace*, Dissertation, Saarbrücken, 2002
- [2] Abo, H., Decker, W., Sasakura, N. *An elliptic conic bundle in $\mathbb{P}^4$ arising from a stable rank 3-vector bundle*, Math. Z. **229** (1998), 725–741.
- [3] Aure, A. *Surfaces on quintic threefolds associated to the Horrocks-Mumford bundle*, Lect. Notes Math. **1339** (1989), 1–9
- [4] Aure, A., Decker, W., Popescu, S., Hulek, K., Ranestad, K. *The geometry of bielliptic surfaces in $\mathbb{P}^4$* , Int. J. Math. **4**, (1993), 873–902.
- [5] Barth, W., Hulek, K. *Monads and moduli of vector bundles*, Manuscr. Math. **25** (1978) 323–347
- [6] Beilinson, A. *Coherent sheaves on $\mathbb{P}^n$ and problems of linear algebra*, Funct. Anal. Appl. **12** (1978), 214–216.
- [7] Decker, W., Ein, L., Schreyer, F.-O. *Construction of surfaces in $\mathbb{P}^4$* , J. Algebr. Geom. **2** (1993) 185–237
- [8] Decker, W. *Stable rank 2 vector bundles with Chern-classes $c_1 = -1$, $c_2 = 4$* , Math. Ann. **275** (1986) 481–500
- [9] Ellingsrud, G., Peskine, C. *Sur les surfaces lisses de $\mathbb{P}^4$* , Invent. Math. **95** (1989) 1–11
- [10] Hartshorne, R. *Algebraic geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg-Berlin, (1977)
- [11] Horrocks, G., Mumford, D. *A rank 2 vector bundle $\mathbb{P}^4$ with 15,000 symmetries*, Topology **12** (1973) 63–81.
- [12] Koelblen, L. *Surdaces de $\mathbb{P}_4$ tracées sur hypersurface cubique*, J. Reine. Angew. Math **433** (1992) 113–141.

- [13] Okonek, C. *Reflexive Garben auf $\mathbb{P}^4$* , Math. Ann. **260** (1982) 211–237
- [14] Popescu, S., Ranestad, K. *Surfaces of degree 10 in the projective fourspace via linear systems and Linkage*, J. Algebr. Geom. **5** (1996) 13–76
- [15] Peskine, C., Szpiro, L. *Liaison des variétés algébriques I*, Invent. Math. **26** (1974) 271–302
- [16] Serrano, F. *Divisors of bielliptic surfaces and embeddings in $\mathbb{P}^4$* , Math. Z. **203** (1990) 527–533